



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering & Research Centre, Nashik

Mechanical Engineering (2022-23)

Assignment Name : India Energy Report

Subject : Energy Engineering - Theory

Faculty : Milind Patil

Group : SEM-8: BEME-B

Marks : 30

India Energy Report

India Energy Report				
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1:	Total
			<p>Prepare a detailed report on India's Energy Insight.</p> <p>Report should contain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Major energy reforms by Indian government • Progress in sustainable development • Major energy supply and demand • Political system and energy governance • Governance of public companies • Energy and climate policy • Energy taxation and subsidy • Energy data and statistics in India • Energy consumption by sector • Energy efficiency policies and programmes • Renewable energy supply and demand trend • Renewable energy policy and regulation • Detailed review on coal as Indian fuel power <p>[30.00]</p>	
1	1	Tushar Palve		
2	2	Dhiraj Pandit	10	10.00
3	5	Dhananjay Pathade		
4	6	Abhishek Patil	15	15.00
5	7	Durvas Patil	12	12.00
6	11	Akash Pawar		
7	12	Darshan Pawar	10	10.00
8	13	Roshan Pawar	12	12.00
9	14	Vinay Pawar	10	10.00
10	18	Mayur Porje	12	12.00
11	19	Pradip Gupta	12	12.00
12	20	Raj Prajapati	15	15.00
13	22	Arpita Purne	12	12.00
14	23	Raheel Ahmed Momin	10	10.00
15	27	Tushar Sabale		
16	29	Aniket Salve		
17	32	Shashank Sawarkar	12	12.00
18	36	Sahil Shaikh		
19	41	Ajinkya Shinde		

20	46	Siddharth Bagul		
21	47	Aman Singh		
22	48	Hemant Singh	10	10.00
23	50	Nishant Solanki	15	15.00
24	52	Rushikesh Sonawane	10	10.00
25	53	Saurav Sonawane		
26	55	Sumeet Rokade	12	12.00
27	56	Sumit Pawar	10	10.00
28	57	Kapil Suryavanshi		
29	61	Taranjot Singh Gurmeet Singh		
30	65	Roshan Vishwakarma	15	15.00
31	67	Prafful Waghmare	10	10.00
32	69	Hrutvik Walve	15	15.00
33	70	Kunal Wankhede	15	15.00
34	71	Harsh Yeola	15	15.00
35	73	Aatmesh Patre		

Q. 1:

Prepare a detailed report on India's Energy Insight.

Report should contain

- Major energy reforms by Indian government
- Progress in sustainable development
- Major energy supply and demand
- Political system and energy governance
- Governance of public companies
- Energy and climate policy
- Energy taxation and subsidy
- Energy data and statistics in India
- Energy consumption by sector
- Energy efficiency policies and programmes
- Renewable energy supply and demand trend
- Renewable energy policy and regulation
- Detailed review on coal as Indian fuel power

[30.00]

Number of student(s) attempted question	22
Percentage of student(s) above threshold	0.00
Linked Course Outcome	CO1
Attainment	0

Course Outcome	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6
Final Attainment	0	0	0	0	0	0

i Last published on 2023-05-29 08:38:59



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering & Research Centre, Nashik

Mechanical Engineering (2022-23)

Assignment Name : Design and Analysis of Reheat Rankine Cycle

Subject : Energy Engineering - Theory

Faculty : Milind Patil

Group : SEM-8: BEME-B

Marks : 30

Design and Analysis of Reheat Rankine Cycle

Design and Analysis of Reheat Rankine Cycle				
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1	Total
			<p>Consider a steam power plant that operates on a reheat Rankine cycle and has a net power output of 80 MW. Steam enters the high-pressure turbine at 10 MPa and 500°C and the low-pressure turbine at 1 MPa and 500°C. Steam leaves the condenser as a saturated liquid at a pressure of 10 kPa. The isentropic efficiency of the turbine is 80 percent, and that of the pump is 95 percent. Show the cycle on a $T-s$ diagram with respect to saturation lines, and determine (a) the quality (or temperature, if superheated) of the steam at the turbine exit, (b) the thermal efficiency of the cycle, and (c) the mass flow rate of the steam.</p> <p>Create an EES programme and evaluate the performance of the reheat cycle at a various operating pressure of – 14, 12, 10, 8 and 6 Mpa. Assume the same inlet temperature of the steam, condenser pressure and isentropic efficiencies.</p> <p>Compare the performance of reheat Rankine cycle with the simple Rankine cycle with a maximum pressure of 10 MPa. Assume same isentropic efficiency, inlet temperature and condenser pressure</p> <p>On observing the performance comment and justify the statements made by you</p> <p>[30.00]</p>	
1	1	Tushar Palve		
2	2	Dhiraj Pandit	0	0.00
3	5	Dhananjay Pathade		
4	6	Abhishek Patil	15	15.00
5	7	Durvas Patil	15	15.00
6	11	Akash Pawar		
7	12	Darshan Pawar	20	20.00
8	13	Roshan Pawar	30	30.00
9	14	Vinay Pawar	20	20.00
10	18	Mayur Porje		
11	19	Pradip Gupta	10	10.00
12	20	Raj Prajapati	15	15.00
13	22	Arpita Purne	15	15.00
14	23	Raheel Ahmed Momin	20	20.00
15	27	Tushar Sabale		
16	29	Aniket Salve		
17	32	Shashank Sawarkar	20	20.00
18	36	Sahil Shaikh		
19	41	Ajinkya Shinde		
20	46	Siddharth Bagul		

21	47	Aman Singh		
22	48	Hemant Singh	15	15.00
23	50	Nishant Solanki	25	25.00
24	52	Rushikesh Sonawane	25	25.00
25	53	Saurav Sonawane		
26	55	Sumeet Rokade	18	18.00
27	56	Sumit Pawar	10	10.00
28	57	Kapil Suryavanshi		
29	61	Taranjot Singh Gurmeet Singh	10	10.00
30	65	Roshan Vishwakarma	15	15.00
31	67	Prafful Waghmare	25	25.00
32	69	Hrutvik Walve	15	15.00
33	70	Kunal Wankhede	25	25.00
34	71	Harsh Yeola	30	30.00
35	73	Aatmesh Patre		

	<p>Q. 1</p> <p>Consider a steam power plant that operates on a reheat Rankine cycle and has a net power output of 80 MW. Steam enters the high-pressure turbine at 10 MPa and 500°C and the low-pressure turbine at 1 MPa and 500°C. Steam leaves the condenser as a saturated liquid at a pressure of 10 kPa. The isentropic efficiency of the turbine is 80 percent, and that of the pump is 95 percent. Show the cycle on a $T-s$ diagram with respect to saturation lines, and determine (a) the quality (or temperature, if superheated) of the steam at the turbine exit, (b) the thermal efficiency of the cycle, and (c) the mass flow rate of the steam.</p> <p>Create an EES programme and evaluate the performance of the reheat cycle at a various operating pressure of – 14, 12, 10, 8 and 6 Mpa. Assume the same inlet temperature of the steam, condenser pressure and isentropic efficiencies.</p> <p>Compare the performance of reheat Rankine cycle with the simple Rankine cycle with a maximum pressure of 10 MPa. Assume same isentropic efficiency, inlet temperature and condenser pressure</p> <p>On observing the performance comment and justify the statements made by you</p> <p>[30.00]</p>
Number of student(s) attempted question	22
Percentage of student(s) above threshold	50.00
Linked Course Outcome	CO1
Attainment	1

Course Outcome	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6
Final Attainment	1.00	0	0	0	0	0

i Last published on 2023-05-29 08:39:16



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering & Research Centre, Nashik

Mechanical Engineering (2022-23)

Assignment Name : Design and Analysis of Steam Condenser

Subject : Energy Engineering - Theory

Faculty : Milind Patil

Group : SEM-8: BEME-B

Marks : 30

Design and performance analysis of steam surface condenser for steam thermal power plant.

Design and Analysis of Steam Condenser				
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1	Total
			<p>A shell-and-tube type condenser is to be designed for a coal fired power station of 200 MWe. Steam enters the turbine at 5 MPa and 400°C ($h = 3195.7$ kJ/kg). The condenser pressure is 10 kPa (0.1 bar). The thermodynamic efficiency of the turbine $\eta_t = 0.85$. The actual enthalpy of steam entering the condenser at 0.1 bar is calculated to be $h = 2268.4$ kJ/kg with 80% quality. The condenser is to be designed without subcooling. A single tube pass is used and the cooling water velocity is assumed to be 2 m/s. Cooling water is available at 20°C and can exit the condenser at 30°C. Allowable total pressure drop on tube side is 35 kPa. The tube wall thermal conductivity $k = 111$ W/m.K</p> <p>[30.00]</p>	
1	1	Tushar Palve		
2	2	Dhiraj Pandit	15	15.00
3	5	Dhananjay Pathade		
4	6	Abhishek Patil	15	15.00
5	7	Durvas Patil	15	15.00
6	11	Akash Pawar		
7	12	Darshan Pawar	15	15.00
8	13	Roshan Pawar	00	0.00
9	14	Vinay Pawar	20	20.00
10	18	Mayur Porje		
11	19	Pradip Gupta	15	15.00
12	20	Raj Prajapati	30	30.00
13	22	Arpita Purne	15	15.00
14	23	Raheel Ahmed Momin	25	25.00
15	27	Tushar Sabale		
16	29	Aniket Salve		
17	32	Shashank Sawarkar	20	20.00
18	36	Sahil Shaikh		
19	41	Ajinkya Shinde		
20	46	Siddharth Bagul		
21	47	Aman Singh		
22	48	Hemant Singh	15	15.00
23	50	Nishant Solanki	25	25.00

Design and Analysis of Steam Condenser					
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1	Total	
			<p>A shell-and-tube type condenser is to be designed for a coal fired power station of 200 MWe. Steam enters the turbine at 5 MPa and 400°C ($h = 3195.7$ kJ/kg). The condenser pressure is 10 kPa (0.1 bar). The thermodynamic efficiency of the turbine $\eta_t = 0.85$. The actual enthalpy of steam entering the condenser at 0.1 bar is calculated to be $h = 2268.4$ kJ/kg with 80% quality. The condenser is to be designed without subcooling. A single tube pass is used and the cooling water velocity is assumed to be 2 m/s. Cooling water is available at 20°C and can exit the condenser at 30°C. Allowable total pressure drop on tube side is 35 kPa. The tube wall thermal conductivity $k = 111$ W/m.K</p> <p>[30.00]</p>		
24	52	Rushikesh Sonawane	12	12.00	
25	53	Saurav Sonawane			
26	55	Sumeet Rokade	15	15.00	
27	56	Sumit Pawar	15	15.00	
28	57	Kapil Suryavanshi			
29	61	Taranjot Singh Gurmeet Singh	0	0.00	
30	65	Roshan Vishwakarma	15	15.00	
31	67	Prafful Waghmare	10	10.00	
32	69	Hrutvik Walve	10	10.00	
33	70	Kunal Wankhede	20	20.00	
34	71	Harsh Yeola	25	25.00	
35	73	Aatmesh Patre			

	<p>Q. 1</p> <p>A shell-and-tube type condenser is to be designed for a coal fired power station of 200 MWe. Steam enters the turbine at 5 MPa and 400°C ($h = 3195.7$ kJ/kg). The condenser pressure is 10 kPa (0.1 bar). The thermodynamic efficiency of the turbine $\eta_t = 0.85$. The actual enthalpy of steam entering the condenser at 0.1 bar is calculated to be $h = 2268.4$ kJ/kg with 80% quality. The condenser is to be designed without subcooling. A single tube pass is used and the cooling water velocity is assumed to be 2 m/s. Cooling water is available at 20°C and can exit the condenser at 30°C. Allowable total pressure drop on tube side is 35 kPa. The tube wall thermal conductivity $k = 111$ W/m.K</p> <p>[30.00]</p>
Number of student(s) attempted question	22
Percentage of student(s) above threshold	31.82
Linked Course Outcome	CO2
Attainment	1

Course Outcome	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6
Final Attainment	0	1.00	0	0	0	0



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering & Research Centre, Nashik

Mechanical Engineering (2022-23)

Assignment Name : Hydro Power Plant Site Selection Report

Subject : Energy Engineering - Theory

Faculty : Milind Patil

Group : SEM-8: BEME-B

Marks : 30

Hydro Power Plant Site Selection Report

Hydro Power Plant Site Selection Report				
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q.1 Consider the requirement of installation of small hydro power plant in near by Village River. Prepare a report on site selection, survey and construction [30.00]	Total
1	1	Tushar Palve		
2	2	Dhiraj Pandit	12	12.00
3	5	Dhananjay Pathade		
4	6	Abhishek Patil	15	15.00
5	7	Durvas Patil	10	10.00
6	11	Akash Pawar		
7	12	Darshan Pawar	10	10.00
8	13	Roshan Pawar	10	10.00
9	14	Vinay Pawar	10	10.00
10	18	Mayur Porje		
11	19	Pradip Gupta	15	15.00
12	20	Raj Prajapati	15	15.00
13	22	Arpita Purne	15	15.00
14	23	Raheel Ahmed Momin	25	25.00
15	27	Tushar Sabale		
16	29	Aniket Salve		
17	32	Shashank Sawarkar	15	15.00
18	36	Sahil Shaikh		
19	41	Ajinkya Shinde		
20	46	Siddharth Bagul		
21	47	Aman Singh		
22	48	Hemant Singh	12	12.00
23	50	Nishant Solanki	12	12.00
24	52	Rushikesh Sonawane	10	10.00
25	53	Saurav Sonawane		
26	55	Sumeet Rokade	12	12.00
27	56	Sumit Pawar	12	12.00
28	57	Kapil Suryavanshi		

Hydro Power Plant Site Selection Report				
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q.1	Total
			Consider the requirement of installation of small hydro power plant in near by Village River. Prepare a report on site selection, survey and construction [30.00]	
29	61	Taranjot Singh Gurmeet Singh	12	12.00
30	65	Roshan Vishwakarma	12	12.00
31	67	Prafful Waghmare	25	25.00
32	69	Hrutvik Walve	12	12.00
33	70	Kunal Wankhede	15	15.00
34	71	Harsh Yeola	15	15.00
35	73	Aatmesh Patre		

	Q.1 Consider the requirement of installation of small hydro power plant in near by Village River. Prepare a report on site selection, survey and construction [30.00]
Number of student(s) attempted question	22
Percentage of student(s) above threshold	77.27
Linked Course Outcome	CO3
Attainment	2

Course Outcome	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6
Final Attainment	0	0	2.00	0	0	0

📌 Last published on 2023-05-29 08:40:00



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering & Research Centre, Nashik

Mechanical Engineering (2022-23)

Assignment Name : Design and Evaluation of Reheat Regenerative GTPP

Subject : Energy Engineering - Theory

Faculty : Milind Patil

Group : SEM-8: BEME-B

Marks : 30

Design and Evaluation of Reheat Regenerative GTPP

Design and Evaluation of Reheat Regenerative GTPP				
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1	Total
			<p>Consider the reheat and regenerative gas turbine cycle to be analyzed for the various operating parameters with the considerations of the irreversibility, pressure loss and effect of air fuel ratio. Design your own cycle, develop a computer programme and evaluate the performance of this cycle.</p> <p>[30.00]</p>	
1	1	Tushar Palve		
2	2	Dhiraj Pandit	15	15.00
3	5	Dhananjay Pathade		
4	6	Abhishek Patil	20	20.00
5	7	Durvas Patil	15	15.00
6	11	Akash Pawar		
7	12	Darshan Pawar	10	10.00
8	13	Roshan Pawar	20	20.00
9	14	Vinay Pawar	12	12.00
10	18	Mayur Porje		
11	19	Pradip Gupta	12	12.00
12	20	Raj Prajapati	6	6.00
13	22	Arpita Purne	20	20.00
14	23	Raheel Ahmed Momin	25	25.00
15	27	Tushar Sabale		
16	29	Aniket Salve		
17	32	Shashank Sawarkar	12	12.00
18	36	Sahil Shaikh		
19	41	Ajinkya Shinde		
20	46	Siddharth Bagul		
21	47	Aman Singh		
22	48	Hemant Singh	6	6.00
23	50	Nishant Solanki	30	30.00
24	52	Rushikesh Sonawane	12	12.00
25	53	Saurav Sonawane		
26	55	Sumeet Rokade	18	18.00

Design and Evaluation of Reheat Regenerative GTPP					
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1		Total
			Consider the reheat and regenerative gas turbine cycle to be analyzed for the various operating parameters with the considerations of the irreversibility, pressure loss and effect of air fuel ratio. Design your own cycle, develop a computer programme and evaluate the performance of this cycle. [30.00]		
27	56	Sumit Pawar	15		15.00
28	57	Kapil Suryavanshi			
29	61	Taranjot Singh Gurmeet Singh	18		18.00
30	65	Roshan Vishwakarma	12		12.00
31	67	Praful Waghmare	12		12.00
32	69	Hrutvik Walve	12		12.00
33	70	Kunal Wankhede	25		25.00
34	71	Harsh Yeola	12		12.00
35	73	Aatmesh Patre			

	<p>Q. 1</p> <p>Consider the reheat and regenerative gas turbine cycle to be analyzed for the various operating parameters with the considerations of the irreversibility, pressure loss and effect of air fuel ratio. Design your own cycle, develop a computer programme and evaluate the performance of this cycle. [30.00]</p>
Number of student(s) attempted question	22
Percentage of student(s) above threshold	36.36
Linked Course Outcome	CO4
Attainment	1

Course Outcome	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6
Final Attainment	0	0	0	1.00	0	0

📌 Last published on 2023-05-29 08:40:21



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering & Research Centre, Nashik

Mechanical Engineering (2022-23)

Assignment Name : Design and Evaluation of Reheat Regenerative GTPP

Subject : Energy Engineering - Theory

Faculty : Milind Patil

Group : SEM-8: BEME-B

Marks : 30

Design and Evaluation of Reheat Regenerative GTPP

Design and Evaluation of Reheat Regenerative GTPP				
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1	Total
			<p>Consider the reheat and regenerative gas turbine cycle to be analyzed for the various operating parameters with the considerations of the irreversibility, pressure loss and effect of air fuel ratio. Design your own cycle, develop a computer programme and evaluate the performance of this cycle.</p> <p>[30.00]</p>	
1	1	Tushar Palve		
2	2	Dhiraj Pandit	15	15.00
3	5	Dhananjay Pathade		
4	6	Abhishek Patil	20	20.00
5	7	Durvas Patil	15	15.00
6	11	Akash Pawar		
7	12	Darshan Pawar	10	10.00
8	13	Roshan Pawar	20	20.00
9	14	Vinay Pawar	12	12.00
10	18	Mayur Porje		
11	19	Pradip Gupta	12	12.00
12	20	Raj Prajapati	6	6.00
13	22	Arpita Purne	20	20.00
14	23	Raheel Ahmed Momin	25	25.00
15	27	Tushar Sabale		
16	29	Aniket Salve		
17	32	Shashank Sawarkar	12	12.00
18	36	Sahil Shaikh		
19	41	Ajinkya Shinde		
20	46	Siddharth Bagul		
21	47	Aman Singh		
22	48	Hemant Singh	6	6.00
23	50	Nishant Solanki	30	30.00
24	52	Rushikesh Sonawane	12	12.00
25	53	Saurav Sonawane		
26	55	Sumeet Rokade	18	18.00

Design and Evaluation of Reheat Regenerative GTPP					
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1		Total
			Consider the reheat and regenerative gas turbine cycle to be analyzed for the various operating parameters with the considerations of the irreversibility, pressure loss and effect of air fuel ratio. Design your own cycle, develop a computer programme and evaluate the performance of this cycle. [30.00]		
27	56	Sumit Pawar	15		15.00
28	57	Kapil Suryavanshi			
29	61	Taranjot Singh Gurmeet Singh	18		18.00
30	65	Roshan Vishwakarma	12		12.00
31	67	Praful Waghmare	12		12.00
32	69	Hrutvik Walve	12		12.00
33	70	Kunal Wankhede	25		25.00
34	71	Harsh Yeola	12		12.00
35	73	Aatmesh Patre			

	<p>Q. 1</p> <p>Consider the reheat and regenerative gas turbine cycle to be analyzed for the various operating parameters with the considerations of the irreversibility, pressure loss and effect of air fuel ratio. Design your own cycle, develop a computer programme and evaluate the performance of this cycle. [30.00]</p>
Number of student(s) attempted question	22
Percentage of student(s) above threshold	36.36
Linked Course Outcome	CO4
Attainment	1

Course Outcome	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6
Final Attainment	0	0	0	1.00	0	0

📌 Last published on 2023-05-29 08:40:21



Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering & Research Centre, Nashik

Mechanical Engineering (2022-23)

Assignment Name : Wind Energy Presentation

Subject : Energy Engineering - Theory

Faculty : Milind Patil

Group : SEM-8: BEME-B

Marks : 30

Wind Energy Presentation

Wind Energy Presentation				
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1	Total
			Prepare a presentation on Wind Energy a Renewable Source of energy. Presentation should include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • India wind energy scenario • Wind energy estimation • Wind energy techniques • Hybrid wind and solar energy technology • Economics of wind energy [30.00]	
1	1	Tushar Palve		
2	2	Dhiraj Pandit	12	12.00
3	5	Dhananjay Pathade		
4	6	Abhishek Patil	15	15.00
5	7	Durvas Patil	12	12.00
6	11	Akash Pawar		
7	12	Darshan Pawar	10	10.00
8	13	Roshan Pawar	00	0.00
9	14	Vinay Pawar	25	25.00
10	18	Mayur Porje	12	12.00
11	19	Pradip Gupta	10	10.00
12	20	Raj Prajapati	10	10.00
13	22	Arpita Purne	30	30.00
14	23	Raheel Ahmed Momin	14	14.00
15	27	Tushar Sabale		
16	29	Aniket Salve		
17	32	Shashank Sawarkar	15	15.00
18	36	Sahil Shaikh		
19	41	Ajinkya Shinde		
20	46	Siddharth Bagul		
21	47	Aman Singh		
22	48	Hemant Singh	10	10.00
23	50	Nishant Solanki	12	12.00
24	52	Rushikesh Sonawane	10	10.00
25	53	Saurav Sonawane		

Wind Energy Presentation					
Sr.No.	Roll No.	Name	Q. 1		Total
			Prepare a presentation on Wind Energy a Renewable Source of energy. Presentation should include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • India wind energy scenario • Wind energy estimation • Wind energy techniques • Hybrid wind and solar energy technology • Economics of wind energy [30.00]		
26	55	Sumeet Rokade	15		15.00
27	56	Sumit Pawar	15		15.00
28	57	Kapil Suryavanshi			
29	61	Taranjot Singh Gurmeet Singh	15		15.00
30	65	Roshan Vishwakarma	15		15.00
31	67	Prafful Waghmare	15		15.00
32	69	Hrutvik Walve	15		15.00
33	70	Kunal Wankhede	10		10.00
34	71	Harsh Yeola	10		10.00
35	73	Aatmesh Patre			

	Q. 1 Prepare a presentation on Wind Energy a Renewable Source of energy. Presentation should include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • India wind energy scenario • Wind energy estimation • Wind energy techniques • Hybrid wind and solar energy technology • Economics of wind energy [30.00]
Number of student(s) attempted question	23
Percentage of student(s) above threshold	65.22
Linked Course Outcome	CO6
Attainment	2

Course Outcome	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6
Final Attainment	0	0	0	0	0	2.00

Last published on 2023-05-29 08:42:40

Students Score - (Practical Assessment) Threshold 50%

Roll No	Name	Practical Assessment								Total	Mark As Absent	
		To design shel... [10.00 Marks]	Case study on ... [10.00 Marks]	Estimation of ... [10.00 Marks]	Case study on ... [10.00 Marks]	Design and per... [10.00 Marks]	Prepare a tech... [10.00 Marks]	Trial on Steam... [10.00 Marks]	Trial on diese... [10.00 Marks]			
1	Tushar Palve	4	0	0	0	0	7	7	7	Saved	25	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Dhiraj Pandit	6	6	6	9	4	8	8	8	Saved	55	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Harman Singh Panesar	5	5	4	4	4	8	8	8	Saved	46	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Parth Sonawane	4	4	4	7	6	8	8	8	Saved	49	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Dhananjay Pathade	5	5	7	6	4	6	7	7	Saved	47	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Abhishek Patil	4	4	4	7	7	8	8	8	Saved	50	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Durvas Patil	4	0	6	6	4	7	6	8	Saved	41	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Harshraj Patil	4	4	4	4	4	7	6	7	Saved	40	<input type="checkbox"/>
9	Mayur Patil	4	4	5	8	6	9	8	8	Saved	52	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	Sahil Patil	8	8	8	8	9	9	9	8	Saved	67	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	Akash Pawar	4	4	4	4	4	6	0	6	Saved	32	<input type="checkbox"/>
12	Darshan Pawar	7	8	8	8	9	9	8	8	Saved	65	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	Roshan Pawar	5	5	6	6	4	7	7	8	Saved	48	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	Vinay Pawar	4	4	4	4	4	6	6	6	Saved	38	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	Vishal Pawar	4	0	4	4	4	8	8	7	Saved	39	<input type="checkbox"/>
16	Albaksh Pinjari	7	8	8	7	6	9	8	8	Saved	61	<input type="checkbox"/>
17	Sameer Pinjari	7	8	8	7	9	8	8	8	Saved	63	<input type="checkbox"/>
18	Mayur Porje									Save	0	<input type="checkbox"/>
19	Pradip Gupta	4	4	4	4	4	6	7	8	Saved	41	<input type="checkbox"/>
20	Raj Prajapati	3	4	4	7	7	9	8	8	Saved	50	<input type="checkbox"/>
21	Geeta Pure	9	7	8	7	5	9	8	8	Saved	61	<input type="checkbox"/>
22	Arpita Purne	4	9	8	8	4	9	8	8	Saved	58	<input type="checkbox"/>
23	Raheel Ahmed Momin	7	7	7	5	4	8	8	8	Saved	54	<input type="checkbox"/>
24	Rahul Chaudhary	4	4	4	4	4	7	6	7	Saved	40	<input type="checkbox"/>
25	Rohit Patil	7	8	8	9	8	9	9	9	Saved	67	<input type="checkbox"/>
26	Rupal Karad	5	5	9	5	7	8	8	8	Saved	55	<input type="checkbox"/>
27	Tushar Sabale	4	4	4	4	4	6	0	6	Saved	32	<input type="checkbox"/>
28	Rahul Sadgir	4	4	4	4	4	7	7	7	Saved	41	<input type="checkbox"/>
29	Aniket Salve									Save	0	<input type="checkbox"/>

Roll No	Name	Practical Assessment								Total	Mark As Absent	
		To design shel... [10.00 Marks]	Case study on ... [10.00 Marks]	Estimation of ... [10.00 Marks]	Case study on ... [10.00 Marks]	Design and per... [10.00 Marks]	Prepare a tech... [10.00 Marks]	Trial on Steam... [10.00 Marks]	Trial on diese... [10.00 Marks]			
30	Yash Sangale	7	7	8	9	8	7	9	8	Saved	63	<input type="checkbox"/>
31	Gajanan Sarode	10	8	8	9	9	10	8	9	Saved	71	<input type="checkbox"/>
32	Shashank Sawarkar	4	4	4	4	5	8	0	8	Saved	37	<input type="checkbox"/>
33	Altamash Ali Sayyed	9	8	7	8	9	9	8	9	Saved	67	<input type="checkbox"/>
34	Anas Shaikh	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	Saved	63	<input type="checkbox"/>
35	Nouman Shaikh	4	4	4	4	4	4	8	8	Saved	40	<input type="checkbox"/>
36	Sahil Shaikh	5	5	4	4	4	8	8	8	Saved	46	<input type="checkbox"/>
37	Shahbaaz Shaikh	5	5	4	5	8	8	8	8	Saved	51	<input type="checkbox"/>
38	Prasad Shelke	4	4	5	5	5	7	6	8	Saved	44	<input type="checkbox"/>
39	Shailesh Shelke	9	7	7	7	9	8	8	8	Saved	63	<input type="checkbox"/>
40	Atharva Shimpi	4	4	4	6	6	8	8	8	Saved	48	<input type="checkbox"/>
41	Ajinkya Shinde									Save	0	<input type="checkbox"/>
42	Sanket Shinde	8	8	4	5	5	8	8	8	Saved	54	<input type="checkbox"/>
43	Yogesh Shirsath	8	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	Saved	64	<input type="checkbox"/>
44	Shreya Wishwakarma	8	9	7	8	8	8	8	8	Saved	64	<input type="checkbox"/>
45	Shubham Patil	9	9	8	8	9	9	7	8	Saved	67	<input type="checkbox"/>
46	Siddharth Bagul	6	4	5	0	5	8	6	6	Saved	40	<input type="checkbox"/>
47	Aman Singh	8	8	4	7	7	8	8	8	Saved	58	<input type="checkbox"/>
48	Hemant Singh	4	4	4	4	4	6	6	6	Saved	38	<input type="checkbox"/>
49	Vijay Sisode	9	6	9	8	7	9	8	9	Saved	65	<input type="checkbox"/>
50	Nishant Solanki	5	5	6	6	9	8	8	8	Saved	55	<input type="checkbox"/>
51	Kunal Sonawane	5	7	7	9	8	7	9	8	Saved	60	<input type="checkbox"/>
52	Rushikesh Sonawane	5	5	7	6	4	7	8	7	Saved	49	<input type="checkbox"/>
53	Saurav Sonawane	4	4	4	4	4	6	6	6	Saved	38	<input type="checkbox"/>
54	Suyash Sonawane	0	4	4	3	5	8	7	7	Saved	38	<input type="checkbox"/>
55	Sumeet Rokade	4	7	8	9	8	8	8	9	Saved	61	<input type="checkbox"/>
56	Sumit Pawar	4	4	6	6	8	8	8	8	Saved	52	<input type="checkbox"/>
57	Kapil Suryavanshi	4	4	4	4	4	8	7	6	Saved	41	<input type="checkbox"/>
58	Radhika Suryawanshi	9	9	8	8	5	9	8	8	Saved	64	<input type="checkbox"/>
59	Suyash Dhavan	4	4	4	4	4	7	7	4	Saved	38	<input type="checkbox"/>

Roll No	Name	Practical Assessment								Total	Mark As Absent	
		To design shel... [10.00 Marks]	Case study on ... [10.00 Marks]	Estimation of ... [10.00 Marks]	Case study on ... [10.00 Marks]	Design and per... [10.00 Marks]	Prepare a tech... [10.00 Marks]	Trial on Steam... [10.00 Marks]	Trial on diese... [10.00 Marks]			
60	Aditya Tappu	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	Saved	62	<input type="checkbox"/>
61	Taranjot Singh Gurmeet Singh	4	4	4	4	4	8	8	8	Saved	44	<input type="checkbox"/>
62	Ketan Telore	4	4	4	4	4	10	9	10	Saved	49	<input type="checkbox"/>
63	Chinmay Thakur	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	Saved	65	<input type="checkbox"/>
64	Vedant Purandare	4	4	4	4	4	10	9	9	Saved	48	<input type="checkbox"/>
65	Roshan Vishwakarma	5	5	5	7	4	8	8	8	Saved	50	<input type="checkbox"/>
66	Aditya Waghchaure	7	7	8	5	9	8	8	8	Saved	60	<input type="checkbox"/>
67	Prafful Waghmare	5	5	5	4	7	8	7	8	Saved	49	<input type="checkbox"/>
68	Ganesh Waichal	4	0	4	0	5	8	8	8	Saved	37	<input type="checkbox"/>
69	Hrutvik Walve	4	4	4	7	4	8	7	8	Saved	46	<input type="checkbox"/>
70	Kunal Wankhede	8	8	8	4	8	9	9	9	Saved	63	<input type="checkbox"/>
71	Harsh Yeola	4	0	4	6	5	8	7	8	Saved	42	<input type="checkbox"/>
72	Yuvraj Ingale	7	4	4	7	4	9	8	8	Saved	51	<input type="checkbox"/>
73	Aatmesh Patre									Save	0	<input type="checkbox"/>

SAVE ALL

	To design shel...	Case study on ...	Estimation of ...	Case study on ...	Design and per...	Prepare a tech...	Trial on Steam...	Trial on diese...
Number of student(s) attempted question	69	69	69	69	69	69	69	69
Percentage of student(s) above threshold	53.62	53.62	53.62	63.77	57.97	98.55	95.65	98.55
Linked course outcome	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6	CO7	CO8
Attainment	1	1	1	2	1	3	3	3

Course outcome	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6	CO7	CO8
Final attainment	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00

 Last published on 2023-05-18 09:36:21

Unpublish